

Game Forms for Coalition Effectivity Functions

Colm Baston and Venanzio Capretta

School of Computer Science, University of Nottingham
{colm.baston, venanzio.capretta}@nottingham.ac.uk

Introduction Coalition logic, introduced by Pauly,¹ is a multi-agent modal logic for reasoning about what groups of agents can achieve if they act collectively, as a coalition. The semantics for coalition logic is based on *game forms*, which are essentially perfect-information strategic games where the players act simultaneously. From a game form, we can derive an *effectivity function* which defines those subsets of outcomes that a particular coalition can guarantee, regardless of how all other players act.

Pauly proves that there is a set of properties, *playability*, that precisely describe when an arbitrary effectivity function is the effectivity function for some strategic game. Our goal is to formalise this equivalence in the logics of the type-theoretic proof assistants Coq and Agda. Proving the playability of an effectivity function that is derived from a game form is straightforward, provided that we develop good libraries for decidable subsets of agents and states. The other direction is more complex, requiring the construction of a game form from a playable effectivity function, then proving that the derived effectivity function is equivalent to the original. In addition to adapting it for type-theoretic formalisation, we simplify Pauly's construction for the second direction, and we give a sketch of this below.

Game Forms A game form G is a tuple $\langle N, \{\mathcal{A}_i\}_{i \in N}, S, o \rangle$ where: N is a finite, non-empty set of agents (for n agents, we simply use the natural numbers $\{0, \dots, n-1\}$); $\{\mathcal{A}_i\}_{i \in N}$ is a family of non-empty sets of actions for each agent i (a *strategy profile* $\sigma : \prod_{i \in N} \mathcal{A}_i$ is a choice of actions for every agent); S is a set of possible outcome states; o is a function $(\prod_{i \in N} \mathcal{A}_i) \rightarrow S$ that selects an outcome for every strategy profile.

A coalition C is a decidable subset of N . Let $\sigma_C : \prod_{i \in C} \mathcal{A}_i$ be a strategy profile for C and $\sigma_{\bar{C}} : \prod_{i \in \bar{C}} \mathcal{A}_i$ a strategy profile for the complement coalition $\bar{C} = N \setminus C$. We denote by $\sigma_C \oplus \sigma_{\bar{C}}$ a global strategy profile σ which joins the actions of both coalitions.

The effectivity function for game form G is a function $E_G : \mathcal{P}_{\text{dec}}(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}_{\text{dec}}(S))$ which associates each coalition with a set of *goals*: each goal is a decidable set of states that the coalition can achieve by working together; that is, $X \in E_G(C)$ iff there is a strategy profile for C that guarantees an outcome in X , no matter the counter-strategy for \bar{C} . The effectivity function for a game form G is therefore defined by:

$$E_G(C) = \{X \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{dec}}(S) \mid \exists \sigma_C, \forall \sigma_{\bar{C}}, o(\sigma_C \oplus \sigma_{\bar{C}}) \in X\}$$

In the semantics of coalition logic, it is very convenient to work abstractly with an effectivity function rather than directly with the game definition. Therefore we need a characterisation of those effectivity functions that come from games.

Playable Effectivity Functions An effectivity function $E : \mathcal{P}_{\text{dec}}(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}_{\text{dec}}(S))$ is playable iff it satisfies the following properties: For any $C \subseteq N$, $\emptyset \notin E(C)$; For any $C \subseteq N$, $S \in E(C)$; E is *N-maximal*: for any $X \subseteq S$, $\bar{X} \notin E(\emptyset) \Rightarrow X \in E(N)$; E is *outcome-monotonic*: for any $C \subseteq N$ and any $X_1 \subseteq X_2 \subseteq S$, $X_1 \in E(C) \Rightarrow X_2 \in E(C)$; E is *superadditive*: for any

¹Marc Pauly, "A modal logic for coalitional power in games", *J. of Logic and Computation*, 12, 02 2002.

disjoint pair $C_1, C_2 \subseteq N$, and any pair $X_1, X_2 \subseteq S$, $X_1 \in E(C_1) \wedge X_2 \in E(C_2) \Rightarrow X_1 \cap X_2 \in E(C_1 \cup C_2)$.

Two more properties follow from the above: E is *regular*: for any $C \subseteq N$ and any $X \subseteq S$, $X \in E(C) \Rightarrow \overline{X} \notin E(\overline{C})$; E is *coalition-monotonic*: for any $C_1 \subseteq C_2 \subseteq N$, $E(C_1) \subseteq E(C_2)$.

Proving that for a game form G , E_G is playable is just a routine question of checking the properties. The inverse requires that for every playable E we construct a game form G such that $E = E_G$.

Game Form Construction Given a playable effectivity function $E : \mathcal{P}_{\text{dec}}(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}_{\text{dec}}(S))$ for some non-empty sets N and S , we construct a game form G such that $E = E_G$. The set of agents and the set of states are N and S respectively, so we just need to define a family of sets of actions $\{\mathcal{A}_i\}_{i \in N}$, and an outcome function o .

An action for an agent $i \in N$ consists of a choice of a coalition C that i would like to be part of, a goal X that i would like the coalition to aim for, a selected outcome $x \in X$, and a natural number t which will be used in determining which agent gets to make the final decision:

$$\mathcal{A}_i = \{\langle C, X, x, t \rangle \mid C \subseteq N, i \in C, X \in E(C), x \in X, t \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

Let a strategy profile σ be given: we have a choice $\sigma_i = \langle C_i, X_i, x_i, t_i \rangle$ for every $i \in N$. A coalition $C \subseteq N$ is called σ -*cooperative* if, for every $i \in C$, $C_i = C$ and, for every $i, j \in C$, $X_i = X_j$. Let $X_C = X_i$ for any $i \in C$. Intuitively, a coalition C is σ -cooperative if all its members want to be in the coalition and they agree on the goal X_C they want to aim for.

Let $\langle C_1, \dots, C_m \rangle$ be all the non-empty σ -cooperative coalitions, and let C_0 be the set of agents that are not in a σ -cooperative coalition. $\langle C_0, \dots, C_m \rangle$ is a partition of N . Define $X_{C_0} = S$ and

$$O(\sigma) = \bigcap_{k=0}^m X_{C_k} = \bigcap_{k=1}^m X_{C_k}$$

The outcome of the game will be defined to be a state in $O(\sigma)$. The choice of the specific state will depend again on σ . We use the numbers t_i to determine an agent that will make the final decision: let $d = (\sum_{i \in N} t_i) \bmod |N|$. The outcome will be the state chosen by this agent, x_d . However, this is not guaranteed to be an element of $O(\sigma)$: it is an element of X_d which is a superset of $O(\sigma)$. In case it isn't we revert to an arbitrary choice function $H : \prod_{X \in E(N)} X$. This exists constructively because by definition of playable effectivity function every $X \in E(N)$ is non-empty. We can prove that $O(\sigma) \in E(N)$, so we can define:

$$o(\sigma) = \begin{cases} x_d & \text{if } x_d \in O(\sigma) \\ H(O(\sigma)) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Theorem. $E = E_G$

Proof. From left to right, we assume $X \in E(C)$ for some coalition C , and must show that $X \in E_G(C)$. Expanding the definition: $\exists \sigma_C, \forall \sigma_{\overline{C}}, o(\sigma_C \oplus \sigma_{\overline{C}}) \in X$. Define σ_C by setting for every $i \in C$, $C_i = C$ and $X_i = X$; x_i and t_i may be chosen arbitrarily. By definition, C is a σ -cooperative coalition, so it will be one of the classes in the partition used to define $O(\sigma_C \oplus \sigma_{\overline{C}})$. As $X_C = X$, it follows that $O(\sigma_C \oplus \sigma_{\overline{C}}) \subseteq X$, and $o(\sigma_C \oplus \sigma_{\overline{C}}) \in X$, as desired.

We must omit the finer details in the right to left direction. The non-trivial case, where $C \neq N$, relies on the playability properties for the construction of a counter-strategy $\sigma_{\overline{C}}$ such that $O(\sigma) \in E(C)$. For each $x \in O(\sigma)$, we are able to tweak x_j and t_j for an agent $j \in \overline{C}$ such that $o(\sigma) = x$, showing that $x \in X$ and $O(\sigma) \subseteq X$, proving by playability that $X \in E(C)$. \square